

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/670,582	09/25/2003	Clifton Harold Bromley	03SW169 / ALBRP314US	7480	
Sugan M. Don	7590 01/24/200	EXAMINER			
Susan M. Donahue Rockwell Automation, 704-P, IP Department			KENNEDY, ADRIAN L		
1201 South 2n Milwaukee, W			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•		•	2121	•	
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/24/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/670,582	BROMLEY ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Adrian L. Kennedy	2121		

	Adrian L. Kennedy	2121	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 19 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) 	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo g date of the final rejection.	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C ust be filed within one	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, of	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or $(d) \square$ They present additional claims without canceling a			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	the rejection of claims 1-23, 37, 3	8, and 40 under 35 U	SC 101.
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-40.	will not be entered, or b) will will will will will will will wil	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 		condition for allowar	ice because:
 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (Other: 	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	1	pa-
		thony Kniche	
	Supervie	ory Palers Exami	ner
	•	Group 3800	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the HMI in Wolff does not present data to a plurality of device platforms. Applicant even argues that Wolff has different platforms but that it is "dedicated for use in a single platform". This is not correct. Wolff does disclose an HMI as set forth in paragraph 0017. Furthermore, Wolff does disclose that multiple platforms can be used, see para. 0086. There is nothing in the Wolff disclosure that limits the HMI to being "dedicated for use with a single platform". Applicant is kindly asked to point to the particular passage in Wolff that makes this statement. Not withstanding the examiner's point that Wolff discloses the use of multiple platforms, it would appear that applicant is arguing that it is the simultaneous use of multiple platforms that is not disclosed by Wolff. This limitation has not been claimed by applicant. However, even if such a limitation were placed into the claims, they would not be considered patentable over either Wolff as a 102 reference alone or in combination with another document under section 103.